



Assessment of Nutritional Status in Sri Lankan Children: Validity of Anthropometry Cutoffs?

Pujitha Wickramasinghe

MBBS (Col), DCH, MD (Paed), PhD (Col) Professor in Paediatrics Faculty of Medicine University of Colombo





GDP Per Capita and Life Expectancy in Sri Lanka 1955 - 2015



Source: World Bank database





Infant Mortality Rate and Female Literacy Rate Sri Lanka 1950 - 2015



Source: Department of Census and Statistics; Registrar General's Department





Change in Disease Patterns in the World







Sri Lanka: Communicable Disease



Source: Epidemiological Unit







Total Population: **21 098 000** Income Group: **Lower Middle** Urban population: **15.1%** Proportion between 30-70 years: **46.7%**

> NCDs are estimated to account for 75% of all deaths

World Health Organization, NCD country profile, 2014









Sustainable development goals identify prevention and control of NCD as a core priority



Preventing the main risk factor, "obesity" is important





Obesity: Definition

Excess **body fat** associated with adverse health outcomes (WHO)¹

Obesity related health risks are associated with a percentage fat mass of;

Male	>25 % ²
Female	>32 % ²

¹WHO Technical Report Series 894 ² Lohman T





Indian J Pediatr DOI 10.1007/s12098-016-2191-2

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Defining Obesity Using a Biological End Point in Sri Lankan Children

Vithanage Pujitha Wickramasinghe¹ · Carukshi Arambepola² · Priyantha Bandara¹ · Mithila Abeysekera¹ · Suran Kuruppu¹ · Prasanna Dilshan¹ · Buddhini Samanthi Dissanayake¹

Received: 25 October 2015 / Accepted: 20 June 2016 © Dr. K C Chaudhuri Foundation 2016





Fat mass associated with MetS



Male 28.6% (sensitivity 88% specificity 87%) Females 33.7% (sensitivity 83% specificity 79%)

	Area	Std Error	Asymptotic Sig	Asymptotic 95% Confidence Inte	
				Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Male	0.047	0.017	0.000	0.914	0.980
Female	0.895	0.032	0.001	0.832	0.959



Assessment of Body Composition

















Prediction of Body Composition













BMI as a Measure of Obesity.....

- Although FM is the diagnostic yardstick for obesity,
- BMI is used as a surrogate measure
- But validity of universal cutoff points are questionable



- International Obesity Task Force (IOTF)
- CDC centile charts (CDC 2000)
- British growth standard
 BMI-Z Scores
- WHO Growth Standards
 (2007)

This is used in the local CHDR

Table 2 International cut off points for body mass index for overweight and obesity by sex between 2 and 18 years, defin to pass through body mass index of 25 and 30 kg/m² at age obtained by averaging data from Brazil, Great Britain, Hong Kong, Netherlands, Singapore, and United States

	Body mass i	ndex 25 kg/m²	Body mass index 30 kg/			
Age (years)	Hales	Females	Hales	Fernale		
2	18.4	18.0	20.1	20.1		
2.5	18.1	17.8	19.8	19.5		
3	17.9	17.6	19.6	19.4		
3.5	17.7	17.4	19.4	19.2		
4	17.6	17.3	19.3	19.1		
4.5	17.5	17.2	19.3	19.1		
5	17.4	17.1	19.3	19.2		
5.5	17.5	17.2	19.5	19.3		
8	17.6	17.3	19.8	19.7		
6.6	17.7	17.6	20.2	20.1		
7	17.9	17.8	20.6	20.6		
7.5	18.2	18.0	21.1	21.0		
8	18.4	18.3	21.6	21.6		
8.6	19.8	18.7	22.2	22.2		
9		19.1	22.8	22.8		
9.5		19.6	23.4	23.6		
10		19.9	24.0	24.1		
10.5	20.2	20.3	24.6	24.8		
	00.0	863	07 4	BF 4		











PUBLIC HEALTH **Public health** Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies WHO expert consultation* A WHO expert consultation addressed the debate about interpretation of recommended body-mass index (BMI) cut-off points for determining overweight and obesity in Asian populations, and considered whether population-specific cut-off points for BMI are necessary. They reviewed scientific evidence that suggests that Asian populations have different associations between BMI, percentage of body fat, and health risks than do European populations. The consultation concluded that the proportion of Asian people with a high risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease is substantial at BMIs lower than the existing WHO cut-off point for overweight (>25 kg/m²). However, available data do not necessarily indicate a clear BMI cut-off point for all Asians for overweight or obesity. The cut-off point for observed risk varies from 22 kg/m² to 25 kg/m² in different Asian populations; for high risk it varies from 26 kg/m² to 31 kg/m². No attempt was made, therefore, to redefine cut-off points for each population separately. The consultation also agreed that the WHO BMI cut-off points should be retained as international classifications. The consultation identified further potential public health action points (23.0, 27.5, 32.5, and 37.5 kg/m²) along the continuum of BMI, and proposed methods by which countries could make decisions about the definitions of increased risk for their population.

Lancet 2004; 363: 157-63





The Y-Y paradox

Chittaranjan S Yajnik, John S Yudkin



Identical BMI but significantly different body Fat

The image is a useful reminder of the limitation of BMI as a measure of adiposity across different populations

(The Lancet 2004;64:163)



Evidence for differences in Body fat

• Asians have low BMI with high fat mass

Wang et al (1994)

New Zealand (5-11 years)
 Fat content compared to European children.
 Indian origin ↑4.3%
 Pacific Islanders ↓1.7%

```
Duncan et al (2005)
```

 European Caucasians had 3.8% more fat than American Caucasians. Socio economic environments (of same ethnic origin) influence body fat
 Deurenberg et al (1998)

BMI based obesity cutoff should be different for different populations.



Body Composition, Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Environment



At any given BMI-Z score, native Sri Lankan children had the highest %FM while the Australian Caucasian children had the lowest %FM.

These differences were statistically significant.

This shows that **genetics** as well as **living environment** influences body composition.

Modified from Wickramasinghe et al Ann Hum Biol. 2005:;32: 60-72



BMI as a Surrogate Marker of Body Composition



BMI = Mass/Height²

Mass = Fat + Fat free



different body compositions





Anthropometric cutoffs used for nutritional assessment in Sri Lankan Children, are they valid?

Are we Feeding and Monitoring the growth of our children correctly?



Materials & Methods

• Subjects:

- 5 15 year old children from 8 schools in the Education Zone of Negombo, Western Province.
- Sample size 13000 children were screen form the Negombo education zone
- Ethics

Obtained from Ethical review committee of Sri Lanka College of Paediatricians









Materials & Methods (cont..)

Anthropometry

- Height
- Weight
- Circumferences

Body composition Assessed using **InBody 230** BIA machine

Calculated the; Body Mass Index (BMI) → Weight/Height² (kgm⁻²) Waist hip ratio (WHR) → WC/HC Waist height ration (WHtR) → WC/Ht











Distribution of the Study Population







		5 -10 years	(n=6297)	11 – 16 years (n=6969)		
Nutritional sta	tus*	Female	Male	Female	Male	
Severe wasting		122	121	111	186	
<-3SD		(3.2%)	(4.7%)	(2.8%)	(6.2%)	
Wasting		528	442	385	449	
-3SD to – 2SD		(14.2%)	(17.1%)	(9.8%)	(14.9%)	
Normal		2596	1694	2748	1860	
-2SD to +1SD		(69.8%)	(65.7%)	(69.6%)	(61.5%)	
Overweight		337	172	512	358	
+1SD to +2SD		(9.1%)	(6.7%)	(13.0%)	(11.8%)	
Obese		136	149	190	170	
>+2SD		(3.7%)	(5.8%)	(4.8%)	(5.6%)	
	TOTAL	3719	2578	3946	3023	

* Assessed by BMI for Age WHO 2007 standards



Results– Nutritional Status



	5 -10 y	years	11 – 16	years	TOTAL
	(n=62	297)	(n=69	969)	(13266)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	
High %FM*	337	189	1541	694	2761
	(9.1%)	(19.6%)	(39.1%)	(22.9%)	(20.8%)
WHtR	163	131	448	484	1226
>0.5	(4.4%)	(5.1%)	(11.4%)	(16.1%)	(9.2%)
Overweight ^Ø	337	172	512	358	1379
+1SD to +2SD	(9.1%)	(6.7%)	(13.0%)	(11.8%)	(10.4%)
Obese ^ø	136	149	190	170	645
>+2SD	(3.7%)	(5.8%)	(4.8%)	(5.6%)	(4.8%)

* %FM >33.7% in females and >28.6% in males

^Ø Assessed by BMI for Age WHO 2007 standards





Low Birthweight

Normal Birthweight

High Birthweight





Validity of Existing Anthropometric Cut-offs in Diagnosing Obesity in 5-10yrs

		Girl	S	Boys		
Anthro' parameter	Cut-off	Se	Sp	Se	Sp	
	WHO >+2 SD	36%	99.9%	56.7%	99.7%	
BMI	IOTF	28.4%	100%	31.7%	100%	
ЫЛ	Wickramas' 2011	94.2%	95.1%	97%	95.6%	
WC	British >+2 SD	42.7%	98.9%	42.1%	98.3%	
	Wickramas' 2011	90.2%	89.9%	98.8%	84.1%	
MC Ht Datia	>0.5	37.8%	98.7%	58.5%	99.4%	
WC-HT Katio	>0.45	87.6%	83.9%	97%	85.7%	





Validity of Existing Anthropometric Cut-offs in Diagnosing Obesity in 10 -15 yrs

		Gi	rls	Boys		
Anthro' parameter	Cut-off	Se	Sp	Se	Sp	
	WHO >+2SD	18%	100%	51.2%	99.9%	
BMI	IOTF	13.3%	100%	19.5%	100%	
DIVII	Wickramas' 2011	96.7%	80%	97.4%	81.2%	
WC	British >+2 SD	46.8%	99.3%	62.8%	99.3%	
	Wickramas' 2011	90.4%	77.9%	99.5%	62.4%	
	>0.5	30.4%	99.4%	63.5%	99.3%	
WC-Ht Katio	>0.45	70.7%	92.8%	92.8%	91%	





Validity of currently used cutoff values of body mass index as a measure of obesity in Sri Lankan children

V P Wickramasinghe¹, S P Lamabadusuriya¹, G J Cleghorn² and P S W Davies²

(Index words: children, body composition, fat mass, BMI)

CMJ. 2009; 54: 114-9.

Validity of BMI as a measure of obesity in Australian white Caucasian and Australian Sri Lankan children

V. P. WICKRAMASINGHE¹, G. J. CLEGHORN¹, K. A. EDMISTON¹, A. J. MURPHY¹, R. A. ABBOTT^{1,2} & P. S. W. D<u>AVIES¹</u>

Ann Hum Biol. 2005:;32: 60-72





What need to be done?

• Revise cutoff to suit the population

Newer screening methods

ROC of selected anthropometric parameters in predicting abnormal %FM (28.6) in Boys











Established BMI cutoff Compared with the Newly Developed



- --BMI comparable to 98th centile FM
- ----- IOTF Over weight cutoff

BMI comparable to 25%/ 32% FM
 BMI comparable to 28.6% 33.7%FM



Comparison of Cutoff with Available Data



	BMI	(kgm ⁻²)	WC	(cm)	
	Male	Female	Male	female	
Katulanda <i>et al</i> (Sri Lanka 2010)	20.7	22.0	76.5	76.3	
Razak <i>et al</i> (Canada 2007)	2	1.0	_		
Dudeja <i>et al</i> (India 2001)	21.5	19.0	-	-	
Wickramasinghe <i>et al</i> (Sri Lanka 2011)	19.2	19.7	68.4	70.4	
Current Study	24.2	21.5	87.8	70.9	
Suggested by WHO (2004)	22.0 – 25.0		-	-	
IDF (2006)		- 90 80			

It is important to have correct diagnostic tool to Identify Obesity





Obesity cutoffs should be.....

- Ethnic specific BMI cutoffs ? (Misra 2003)
- Population specific cutoffs? (Stevens 2003)
- BMI cutoff values in Asians for metabolic risk varies between 22 kg/m² to 25kg/m²

(WHO, Lancet 2004)

Anthropometric cutoff developed based on a **biological end point**, ie. corresponding to the FM associated with metabolic complications would be relevant than a **population distribution (statistical**) cutoff





- Ethnicity as well as living environment influences the body composition
- Current BMI based cutoff values are not sensitive detect high body fat content of Sri Lankan children
- Caution should be exerted when using non-validated growth references
- Anthropometric cutoffs should be developed to detect disease risks
- We cannot ignore the paediatric population





1938 - 2013



"Fetal Origin of Adult Diseases"

Under-nutrition during perinatal period leads to many adverse outcomes later in life

(David Barker 1994)



"Postnatal Growth Acceleration" hypothesis

Term small for date infants that had catch up growth showed **^BP** and **^ Fat** Mass at 8 years of age Singhal & Lucas Circulation. 2007;115:213-220







Effects of Childhood Obesity on Later Obesity

		Overweight/Obesity at 5-7 yrs					Overwe	ight/Obesi	ty at 15-17 v	yrs	
			Crude		Adjusted			Crude		Adjusted	
Age	Weight class	%	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	%	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI
2.4	Thin/N ormal		1.0		1.0			1.0		1.0	
2-4	Owt/O bese	52.5	11.6	6.4-21.0	11.0	6.0-21.0	39.3	3.0	1.7-5.3	3.2	1.8-5.6

Evensen E et al. 2016 BMC Pediatrics 16(64)





<10% of NORMAL weight children Becomes OBESE Adults

>75% of OBESE children Becomes OBESE Adults

Freedman DS et al, Relationship of Childhood Obesity to Coronary Heart Disease Risk Factors in Adulthood: The Bogalusa Heart Study. Pediatrics 2001;108:712-8





Consequence on Long Term Health

Obesity has the potential to **negate** many of the health benefits that have contributed to increase of life expectancy "This is the first generation where children may die before their parents." Paul Zimmet

"Early detection followed by treatment is vital to halt the progression of the metabolic syndrome and safeguard the future health of children and adolescents."

Sir George Alberti





PROMOTE



PRECONCEPTION AND PREGNANCY CARE

EARLY CHILDHOOD DIET AND PHYSICAL ΑCTIVITY





On the contrary.....

• Does lack of appropriate anthropometric tools affect our children?

 Would it lead to the development of Overweight/Obesity?





Prevalence of Underweight, Stunting and Wasting in Sri Lanka (<5 yrs)



Source: Medical Research Institute, 2002; Demographic Health Survey 2006/07; National Food Security Assessment 2009; Sri Lanka Complementary Feeding Study; National Nutrient and Micronutrient Survey 2012

Prevalence of wasting and overweight in Sri Lanka 2007-2015 (14 yrs)



Source: School & Adolescent Health Unit, Family Health Bureau



Wickramasinghe and Samaranayake BMC Res Notes (2016) 9:208 DOI 10.1186/s13104-016-2016-4



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

CrossMark

Growth charts: do they reflect healthy growth in Sri Lankan children?

V. P. Wickramasinghe^{1*} and D. B. D. L. Samaranayake²

Distribution of children with adversely high %FM in each BMI for age SD category in $5 \le to <10$ and $10 \le to <15$ year age groups, by gender

		$5 \le$ to <10 year Category					$10 \le$ to <15 year old category			
		Mal (>25%	le FM)	FemaleMale(>32%FM)(>25%FM)		Male 25%FM)	Female (>32%FM)			
SD	Category	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
1.	<-3	1	11.1%	1	33.3%	0	0%	4	100.0 %	
2	-32	2	15.4%	2	50.0%	2	25.0%	2	33.3%	
3.	-21	2	8.0%	8	66.7%	4	30.8%	8	72.7%	
4.	-1-0	3	23.1%	3	50.0%	7	63.6%	7	50.0%	
5.	0 -+1	6	60.0%	14	87.5%	9	81.8%	14	73.7%	
6.	1-+2	9	69.2%	5	62.5%	14	100.0%	9	90.0%	
7.	2-+3	7	87.5%	6	100%	6	75.0%	4	80.0%	
8.	+3	2	66.7%	1	100%	-	-	1	100.0	
	Total	62	34.0%	40	71.4%	42	61.8%	49	70.0%	

Note: the highlighted area show the 'suggested normal range' of the parameter for Sri Lankan children





 Are we over diagnosing stunting/wasting and under diagnosing overweight/obesity?

What would be the health and economic implication of this?

 These need to be discussed and resolved at scientific, managerial and policy formulating circles.







- Sri Lankan /South Asia populations have a different body composition
- it's important to have appropriate anthropometric cutoff values thus enabling early detection of unhealthy body composition (in children).
- Anthropometric cutoff values should suit the population / ethnic group and not be mere 'universal' value





We, who care for health, have a great responsibility in the prevention of NCDs in the next generation

Thank you







Acknowledgements

- Warnakulasuriya LS (Post Graduate Institute of Medicine (Trainee), University of Colombo), Fernando MAM & Thawfeek ARM (Colombo North Teaching Hospital, Ragama), Adikaram AVN (Health Unit, Bandaranayake International Airport, Katunayake), Anurasiri WML (District General Hospital, Negombo), Silva KDRR & Sirasa MSF (Wayamba University), Samaranayake D (Department of Community Medicine, University of Colombo).
- Mr Sheran Weerasinghe (site Manager) and all research assistance
- All children who participated in the study
- The study was funded by an educational grant from Lions Club Negambo Host, Negambo, Sri Lanka.